

Allyldimethyltritylsilane: construction of enantiomerically pure $cyclobutano[c]fused pyrrolidines$

Michael D. Groaning, Gregory P. Brengel and A. I. Meyers^{*}

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

Dedicated to Professor Henri Kagan for his outstanding contributions to asymmetric reactions and his well-deserved recognition in the form of the Tetrahedron Prize

Received 27 September 2000; revised 8 November 2000; accepted 13 November 2000

Abstract—Cyclobutannulation of the bicyclic lactam with allyldimethyltritylsilane is accomplished in high yield with good diastereoselectivity. The resulting tricyclic system is converted to a enantiomerically pure cyclobutane-fused pyrrolidine. \heartsuit 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

In the past 25 years, allylsilanes have become ubiquitous reagents in organic synthesis with a wide variety of applications.1±3 Analogues of this reagent ranging from allenyl s ilane⁴ to propargylsilanes⁵ have also been investigated. One of the unique properties of these allylic silanes is the ability to behave as a 1,3-dipole and a ' $[2+2]$ ' cycloaddition reaction partner. The three reaction manifolds proceed through a common intermediate shown as 2 and 3. Addition of the terminal carbon of the allylsilane to the β -carbon of the enone system results in the β -silicon-stabilized carbenium species (2). The latter may also be represented by the non-classical `siliranium' intermediate (3). At this juncture, the process may advance through three possible pathways. First, halide from the Lewis acid (usually titanium(IV) tetrachloride) may add to the silicon resulting in its displacement effecting net allyl transfer (6). Second, the intermediate metalloenolate may add intramolecularly to the siliranium intermediate (3) through a 4-endo process to afford the cyclobutane adduct (5). Finally, this same metalloenolate intermediate may add via a 5-exo pathway to yield the cyclopentane (4). The initial two pathways are usually predominant when the R group on silicon is larger than methyl (e.g. *i*-propyl, phenyl, *t*-butyl, etc.). Majetich⁶ took advantage of the annulation manifold by performing the conjugate addition in an intramolecular sense wherein the allylsilane was tethered to the enone system. Despite the extensive investigation⁷ of *intermolecular* annulation of allenylsilanes to produce cyclopentene products by

Scheme 1.

Keywords: cyclobutannulation; allyldimethyltritylsilane; $[2+2]$ ' cycloaddition.
* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-970-491-6897; fax: +1-970-491-2114; e-mail: aimeyers@lamar.colostate.edu

^{0040-4020/01/\$ -} see front matter © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-4020(01)00083-7

 12 $X = H$, halide, NR₂, OR

Scheme 2.

Scheme 3.

Danheiser throughout the 1980s, it was not until Knölker's work 8 in 1990 that the optimization of the annulation of allylsilanes onto enones was described (Scheme 1).

 11

Another useful feature of the allylsilane annulation is the predictable stereochemistry of the annulated products (Scheme 2). The cyclopentane products form with a high propensity for the carbonyl group to be trans to the trisubstituted silyl moiety (10). It has been suggested by various groups⁹ that this stereochemical outcome arises from a preference for a synclinal approach (7) which proceeds to

the transition state (8) , in accord with the general topological rule for Michael additions proposed by Seebach and Golinski.¹⁰

 13

During this same period, $Tamao¹¹$ and Fleming¹² independently discovered a synthetically useful property of the silicon moiety; the oxidative conversion of the silicon–carbon bond (e.g. 11 via 12) into a oxygen-carbon bond (e.g. 13, Scheme 3). Since that time silicon's ability to act as a hydroxyl surrogate has increased its versatility and utility in synthetic organic chemistry.

Both of these methods were inspired by the work of Buncel and Davies¹³ who reported that basic perbenzoic acid oxidized chlorodimethylphenylsilane to dimethyl(phenoxy) silyl benzoate. Rearrangements of silyl peroxides, especially phenyl migration, was studied by Yablokov.¹⁴ The sequence that produces an alcohol from the alkylsilane 14 is depicted in Scheme 4. Usually, the alkylsilane contains a nucleofugal group (Cl, OH, OR, etc.), which is initially displaced by the peroxide ion (15) and then return of the nucleofugal group to effect a silicon to oxygen rearrangement (16). Repetition of this sequence produces the silyl ethers (17), which are solvolyzed to the carbinols (18).

The ability of the silane to be transformed into an alcohol, allows one to exploit the nucleophilicity of the allylsilanes along with the annulation to access a variety of hydroxyfunctionalized cyclobutanes and cyclopentanes, usually

Scheme 5.

difficult to reach.¹⁵ Although functionalized carbocycles,¹⁶ as well as heterocycles, such as oxetanes,¹⁷ tetrahydrofurans, 18 azetidines and pyrrolidines¹⁹ have been accessed, little has been done using both the annulation and silane oxidation.²⁰ We have previously described²¹ how both processes may be maximized using allyldimethyltritylsilane (ADTS). We were able to construct stereochemically defined cyclopentanols, oxetanes, and tetrahydrofurans using this method.

In order to assess the versatility and scope of the annulations, we examined the reactions with the chiral bicyclic lactams (19) reported earlier²¹ to give either 4 or 5membered ring annulation.²² The annulations previously performed using triisopropylallyl silanes (allylTIPS) were incapable of being oxidized to the alcohols. The bicyclic lactams (19) were treated with titanium(IV) tetrachloride in dichloromethane at -78° C, and after addition of the allylsilane (ADTS) the reaction mixture was allowed to

warm to 0° C. A 50-75% yield of cyclobutane adducts (20, 21) was exclusively obtained as a mixture of e^{x0} endo silyl substituent with the major product in each instance being the exo-products (20). It is noteworthy to mention that no cyclopentane products (i.e. 23) were observed as was previously described²¹ when warming the allylTIPS adducts to 0° C in the presence of TiCl₄ (Scheme 5).

Furthermore, the rates of reaction were qualitatively slower with ADTS when compared to those exhibited by allylTIPS additions, presumably due to slightly less inductive stabilization by the methyl and trityl groups. Titanium(IV) tetrachloride mediated rearrangement of the initially formed cyclobutane from 20 to cyclopentane products 23 were attempted by warming the reaction mixture to $20-25^{\circ}C$ but thermal decomposition of both the product and starting materials became quite noticeable and the cyclopentane was indeed formed albeit in poor yield and with loss of

Scheme 6.

Scheme 7.

Scheme 8.

stereochemical integrity (Scheme 6). Thus, 23 was obtained in 21% yield, but with only a diastereomeric ratio of 78:22, indicating the rearrangement took place with poor stereochemical integrity.

Attempts to oxidize the silane 21 to the corresponding carbinol using standard Tamao conditions resulted in formation of the Sakurai product (25) (Scheme 7). This was considered to be a result of nucleophilic attack on the silicon by the fluoride ion and subsequent elimination to the alkene (24) . If fluoride ion is indeed responsible for this fragmentation, then omitting it from the silyl-trityl solvolysis should avoid the problem. Thus, treating the cyclobutanes (20) with only tetrabutylammonium hydroxide in the presence of hydrogen peroxide produced the solvolyzed intermediate 26 which was readily oxidized to the primary alcohols $(27a-c)$ in moderate yields.

Initial attempts to remove the chiral auxiliary began with diisobutylaluminum hydride reduction to afford a 3:1 inseparable mixture of diastereomers 28 at the C-2 methyl position (Scheme 8).²³ Other reducing agents (Red-Al, BH₃, AlH3, 9-BBN, l-Selectride) were investigated with equally poor diastereoselectivity being observed.

Concurrent studies on these cyclobutane adducts revealed that the free hydroxymethyl group had a high propensity to lactonize onto the vicinal ester to form 29 under both acidic and basic conditions (Scheme 9). It was felt that the first step in the reduction process was formation of the lactone 29, which adversely affected the stereochemical outcome of the subsequent reductions.

In an effort to test this hypothesis, the hydroxymethyl group was protected as its *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether (30) and subjected to the orginal reduction conditions (Scheme 10). The reduction proceeded with excellent selectivity at the C-2 methyl position, producing only one detectable diastereomer (31).

Assignment of the newly formed stereogenic center was initially attempted with ¹H NMR using previously reported²⁴ reductions of tricyclic lactam systems $(32-34)$ as models (Scheme 11). Their assignments were resolved comparing the coupling constants of the vicinal hydrogens and later confirmed by X-ray crystallography.

Based on these data, it would appear that the stereochemical assignment should be that of reduction with inversion at the angular methyl in 30b. However, after analysis of the

Scheme 9.

Scheme 10.

Scheme 11.

Figure 1.

Scheme 13.

dihedral angles in models of the two possible products, the coupling constants were predicted to be \sim 7 Hz for the cis isomer and \sim 3 Hz for the *trans* isomer. It was neccesary to confirm the structure by X-ray crystallography to eliminate any doubt. Removal of the tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether using tetrabutylammonium fluoride, afforded the triol as a colorless solid with X-ray quality crystals. X-Ray analysis revealed that the reduction occurred with retention of stereochemistry at the angular position (Fig. 1).

 31

This seemingly anomolous result could be rationalized by the abundance of steric bulk on the convex (exo) face of the tricyclic system 30b, forcing the delivery of the hydride to occur from the concave (endo) face of the tricyclic lactam thus furnishing 31 (Scheme 12).

With the stereochemistry of the cyclobutano $[c]$ pyrrolidine, 31, unequivocally established, all that remained was the hydrogenolysis of the benzylic carbon-nitrogen bond. This was accomplished by treatment of pyrrolidine 31 with palladium(II) hydroxide under an atmosphere of hydrogen with in the presence of $(Boc)₂O$ to give tertbutyl carbamate (35) (Scheme 13).

35

In summary, the application of allylsilane additions to the bicyclic lactam have been shown to undergo annulations employing allyldimethyltritylsilane. This has resulted in a novel route to enantiomerically pure cyclobutano $[c]$ pyrrolidines.

1. Experimental

1.1. General method for cyclopentannulation onto bicyclic lactam $19(a-c)$

To a solution of the bicyclic lactam $19(a-c)$ (1.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at -78° C was added titanium(IV) chloride $(1.2 \text{ mmol}, 1.0 \text{ M} \text{ solution in } CH_2Cl_2)$ dropwise via syringe. After 5 min of vigorous stirring, allyltrityldimethylsilane^{20b} (600 mg, 1.75 mmol) was added as a solution in CH_2Cl_2 dropwise. The temperature was allowed to rise to 0° C

over 4 h. The reaction was monitored via TLC (4:1 Hex/ EtOAc) then treated with sat. aq. $NH₄Cl$, resulting in a colorless mixture. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was washed with $CH₂Cl₂$. The organic layers were combined, dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude products 20 and 21. The crude products were purified by flash chromatography on SiO_2 eluting with EtOAc/Hex (1:1). 21 (a-c) were not characterized due to the rather small quantities that were formed.

1.1.1. Bicyclic lactam 20a. Colorless solid (0.59 g, 68% yield), mp 180° C (EtOAc/Hex). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ =0.11 (s, 3H), 0.14 (s, 3H), 0.83 (app t, J= 14.1 Hz, 1H), 1.10 (dd, $J=13.9$, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 1.19 (s, 9H), 1.68 (ddd, $J=12.6$, 9.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.55 -2.65 (m, 1H), $2.74-2.82$ (m, 1H), 3.23 (dd, J=8.8, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (app t, $J=9.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.73 (dd, $J=8.8$, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (app t, J=8.3 Hz, 1H), $6.95-7.34$ (m, 25H); ¹³C NMR $(CDCl_3$ 75 MHz) $\delta = -0.4, 1.3, 19.9, 25.0, 27.8, 37.9,$ 44.5, 53.8, 59.5, 64.4, 75.2, 81.8, 100.1, 125.5, 127.2, 127.6, 128.0, 128.2, 128.4, 129.9, 138.0, 142.2, 165.8, 176.1; IR (neat) 1736, 1717 cm⁻¹. $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -11.5$ $(c=0.80, CH₂Cl₂).$

1.1.2. Bicyclic lactam 20b. Colorless solid (0.15 g, 75% yield), mp $140-143^{\circ}$ C (EtOAc/Hex). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ =0.13 (s, 6H), 0.81 (app t, J=14.2 Hz, 1H), 1.22-1.26 (m, 1H), 1.30 (s, 9H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.49-1.60 $(m, 1H)$, 2.28–2.38 $(m, 1H)$, 2.64–2.71 $(m, 1H)$, 3.23 (app t, $J=8.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.18 (dd, $J=8.7$, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (app t, $J=8.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.13 (app t, $J=7.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.98–7.35 (m, 20H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ = -0.4, 1.5, 19.8, 24.0, 24.8, 28.0, 37.7, 43.5, 54.0, 57.6, 65.1, 75.2, 82.0, 98.1, 125.6, 125.7, 127.6, 128.1, 128.8, 130.0, 139.4, 146.3, 166.7, 174.5; IR (neat) 1735, 1712 cm^{-1} ; Anal. Calcd for C39H53NO4Si: C 76.67, H 7.20, found C 76.70, H 7.24; $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}$ = +32.3 (c=0.86, CH₂Cl₂).

1.1.3. Bicyclic lactam 20c. Colorless solid (100 mg, 50% yield), mp $82-85^{\circ}$ C (EtOAc/Hex). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ =0.11 (s, 3H), 0.14, (s, 3H), 0.83 (app t, J= 14.1 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (s, 9H), 1.40 (dd, $J=14.2$, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.00 -2.18 (m, 2H), 2.74 -2.83 (m, 1H), 3.18 (dd, J=9.9, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (dd, $J=8.6$, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (app t, $J=$ 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (s, 1H), 5.14 (app t, $J=7.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.96– 7.36 (m, 20H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) $\delta = -0.3, 1.2,$ 21.7, 28.0, 30.4 35.7, 40.6, 53.7, 58.9, 60.8, 73.0, 82.0, 96.1, 125.6, 126.1, 127.7, 128.0, 128.8, 129.9, 139.8, 146.1, 166.4, 177.9; IR (neat) 1744, 1712 cm^{-1} ; Anal. Calcd for C41H45NO4Si: C 76.48, H 7.04, found: C 76.30, H 7.09; $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}$ = +90.6 (c=0.64, CH₂Cl₂).

1.1.4. Bicyclic lactam 23a,23b. Colorless oil (50 mg, 21% yield) as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers. Major diastereomer: ${}^{1}\text{H}$ NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ =0.13 (s, 6H), 0.79-0.88 (m, 1H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.39-1.50 (m, 1H), 1.89 (dd, $J=9.3$ Hz, 1H), 2.28 -2.38 (m, 1H), 2.41 -2.48 (m, 1H), 2.83 (d, $J=8.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.9 (dd, $J=8.7$, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (app t, $J=8.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.73 (app t, $J=7.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.1 $(s, 2H)$, 6.98–7.35 (m, 25H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) $\delta = -0.4, 1.3, 19.9, 25.0, 27.8, 37.9, 44.5, 53.8, 59.5, 64.4,$ 75.2, 81.8, 100.1, 126.5, 127.2, 127.6, 128.0, 128.2, 128.4, 131.9, 138.0, 142.2, 165.8, 176.1; IR (neat) 1748, 1716 cm⁻¹.

1.2. General procedure for the oxidative removal of silicon from 20

To a solution of the silylcyclobutane 20 (0.47 mmol) in 10 mL of THF at 0° C, was added tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (0.94 mL, 1.0 M in MeOH). Hydrogen peroxide (1.40 mL, 30% solution in water) was added and the reaction was stirred vigorously for 24 h, and then diluted with $CH₂Cl₂$ and water. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was washed with $CH₂Cl₂$. The combined layers were dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield a crude oil. The crude products were purified by flash chromoatography eluting with EtOAc/ Hex (1:1) to yield the hydroxymethylcyclobutanes 27.

1.2.1. Bicyclic lactam 27a. Viscous oil/foam (50 mg, 63% yield). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, D₂O wash, 300 MHz) δ =1.40 (s, 9H), 2.03 (ddd, $J=13.2$, 9.3, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (ddd, $J=12.9$, 9.4, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (m, 1H), 3.27 (dd, $J=9.3$, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (dd, $J=12.1$, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd, $J=12.0$, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (app t, $J=9.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.73 (br, s, HOD), 4.78 (dd, $J=9.0$, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (app t, $J=8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.09 $-$ 7.41 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ =19.8, 27.8, 41.7, 47.5, 59.1, 62.0, 63.0, 74.7, 83.3, 100.6, 125.8, 126.9, 127.6, 128.3, 128.5, 138.0, 141.9, 168.1, 176.6; IR (neat) 1731 cm⁻¹. HRMS: (FAB), (M⁺H) Calcd for C₂₆H₂₉NO₅: 436.2124, found: 436.2130; $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +19.1$ ($c=0.68$, $\overline{\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2}$).

1.2.2. Bicyclic lactam 27b. Viscous oil/foam (33 mg, 53% yield). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, D₂O wash, 300 MHz) δ =1.48 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 9H), 1.80 (ddd, J=13.0, 9.3, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.33 $(\text{ddd}, J=13.1, 9.5, 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 2.70 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 3.20 \text{ (dd, } J=$ 9.2, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (dd, $J=11.8$, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (dd, $J=11.7$, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (dd, $J=8.8$, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (br. s, HOD), 4.81 (app t, $J=8.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.18 (app t, $J=7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.35 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ = 19.0, 24.6, 28.0, 41.1, 46.6, 56.9, 62.5, 63.4, 75.3, 83.1, 98.4, 125.3, 127.5, 128.7, 168.6, 174.4; IR (film) 3478, 1734, 1710 cm⁻¹. HRMS: (FAB), (M⁺H) Calcd for C₂₁H₂₇NO₅: 374.1967, found: 374.1969; $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +103.1$ ($c=1.3$, CH₂Cl₂).

1.2.3. Lactone 29. To a flame-dried round bottom flask was added bicyclic lactam 27b (400 mg, 1.07 mmol) followed by 5 mL of dichloromethane. PTSA (5 mg) was added at room temperature and the reaction was stirred under an argon atmosphere for 2 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a pale yellow residue. The crude mixture was purified via chromatography $(SiO₂, 4:1$ Hex/EtOAc) to give a colorless solid (291 mg, 91%), mp=112-114°C (EtOAc/Hex), $[\alpha]_{D23}$ =24° (c=1.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ =1.42 (s, 3H), 2.07 $(\text{ddd}, J=3, 8, 11 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 2.83 \text{ (ddd}, J=6, 8, 12 \text{ Hz}, 1H),$ $3.17 - 3.28$ (m, 2H), 4.24 (dd, J=7, 9 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (J=5, 10 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (app. t, $J=8$ Hz, 1H), 4.83 (app. t, $J=8$ Hz, 1H), 5.26 (app. t, J=8 Hz, 1H), 7.23–7.38 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75MHz) δ =23.2, 25.4, 36.5, 44.5, 56.7, 57.6, 74.1, 74.3, 97.9, 125.2, 127.5, 128.7, 139.0, 172.4, 172.9; IR (film) 1769, 1708 cm⁻¹.

1.2.4. Lactam 30b. To a dichloromethane solution of 27b

(128 mg, 0.34 mmol) was added imidazole (22 mg, 1.1 equiv) followed by tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (56 mg, 1.1 equiv). A voluminous colorless precipitate formed and after 1 h the reaction mixture was partitioned between dichloromethane and water. The aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane, the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $MgSO₄$ and concentrated to a colorless oil. The residue was chromatographed $(SiO₂, 4:1$ Hex/EtOAc) to afford a colorless oil (165 mg, 89%). $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{23}=73$ (c=1.1, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ =0.13 (s, 3H), 0.14 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 9H), 1.56 $(s, 9H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.95 (ddd, J=12, 9, 3 Hz, 1H), 2.33$ $(\text{ddd}, J=12, 9, 8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 2.70 \, (\text{ddd}, J=9, 6, 2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 3.30$ $(dd, J=9, 8 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (dd, J=10, 3 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (dd,$ $J=10$, 4 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (dd, $J=9$, 7 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (app. t, $J=8$ Hz, 1H), 5.23 (app. t, $J=8$ Hz, 1H), 7.23–7.41 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, $\overline{75}$ MHz) $\delta = -5.5, -5.3, 18.3, 19.1,$ 24.6, 25.9, 28.2, 41.2, 46.3, 57.1, 62.1, 62.4, 75.2, 81.7, 98.7, 125.5, 127.5, 128.7, 139.6, 166.7, 174.95; IR (film) 1742, 1711 cm⁻¹; Anal. calcd for C₃₁H₄₁NO₅Si: C 66.49, H 8.47, found: C 66.57, H 8.48.

1.2.5. Pyrrolidine 31. A THF solution of 30b (130 mg, 0.24 mmol) was cooled to -78° C and DIBALH(0.9 mL) (neat), 10 equiv.) was added dropwise via syringe. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 8 h at which time the solution was cooled to -78° C and MeOH was slowly added until the evolution of hydrogen ceased. The solution was warmed to room temperature, an equal volume of 10% KOH was added, and vigorously stirred for 1 h. The mixture was partitioned between dichloromethane and water. The layers were separated, the aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane, the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to a colorless oil. Crude ${}^{1}H$ NMR analysis revealed a single methyl doublet at 0.85 ppm, therefore the d.r. was determined to be $>20:1$. The residue was chromatographed $(SiO₂, Et₂O)$ to afford a colorless oil $(85 \text{ mg}, 84\%) [\alpha]_D^{23} = -6$ (c=0.8, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ =0.13 (s, 3H), 0.14 (s, 3H), 0.85 (d, $J=9$ Hz, 3H), 0.92 (s, 9H), 1.52 (ddd, $J=12$, 9, 7 Hz, 1H), 1.76 (ddd, $J=11$, 9, 8 Hz, 1H), 1.87 (dd, $J=11$, 8 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (ddd, $J=10$, 8, 7 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (ddd, $J=11$, 7, 6 Hz, 1H), $2.90-3.03$ (m, 2H), 3.39 (d, $J=11$ Hz, 1H), 3.67 (dd, $J=10$, 7 Hz, 1H), 3.80–3.92 (m, 4H), 4.09 (d, $J=11$ Hz, 1H), $7.29-7.42$ (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) $\delta = -5.6, -5.4, 14.2, 18.2, 23.5, 25.8, 41.4, 43.1, 50.5,$ 58.3, 63.8, 64.7, 65.4, 127.7, 128.4, 128.8; IR (film) 3360, 2957 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₂₄H₄₁NO₃Si: C 68.71, H 9.84, found: C 68.76, H 9.73.

1.2.6. Pyrrolidine 35. To an ethanol solution of N-benzyl pyrrolidine 31 (50 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added Pd(OH)₂ and di-tert-butylpyrocarbonate (50 mg, 1.5 equiv.) and stirred under an atmosphere of hydrogen. After 10 h, the reaction solution was purged with argon, filtered through a plug of Celite and concentrated to a colorless oil. Column chromatography $(SiO₂, 10\% \text{ MeOH/CHCl}_3)$ yielded the pyrrolidine as a colorless oil (43 mg, 91%): $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -13$ (c=0.6, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ =0.09 (s, H), 0.88 $(s, 9H)$, 1.05 (d, J=11 Hz, 3H), 1.48 (s, 9H), 1.51-1.62 (m, 3H), 1.63–1.79 (br. m, 1H), 1.92–2.1 (br. m, 1H), 2.27–2.41 (br. m, 1H), $3.29-3.41$ (m, 2H), 3.63 (dd, $J=11$, 9 Hz, 1H),

3.67 -3.82 (m, 3H), 3.9 -4.11 (br. m, 2H); -5.6 , -5.4 , 18.2, 19.3, 23.5, 25.8, 28.6, 40.8, 43.3, 56.8, 59.6, 64.6, 65.3, 79.2, 100.1, 154.6; IR (film) 3456, 1692 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for $C_{21}H_{41}NO_4Si$: C 63.14, H 10.32, found: C 63.04, H 10.39.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the National Institutes of Health for financial support. A graduate fellowship (ACS) funded by Merck (to M. D. G.) is gratefully acknowledged. The X-ray structure was determined by Ms Susie Miller and we thank her for her efforts.

References

- 1. For reviews discussing the use of allylsilanes see: (a) Fleming, I.; Barbero, A.; Walter, D. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2063. (b) Majetich, G. In Allylsilanes in Organic Synthesis in Organic Synthesis: Theory and Applications, Hudlicky, T., Ed.; JAI: Greenwich, CT, 1989; Vol. 1.
- 2. For a review discussing the use of chiral allylsilanes see: Masse, C. E.; Panek, J. S. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1293.
- 3. For a review on $[3+2]$ annulation reactions of allyltriisopropylsilane, see: Knölker, H.-J. J. Prakt. Chem. 1997, 339, 304.
- 4. Danheiser, R. L.; Carini, D. J.; Basak, A. J. Am. Chem.Soc. 1981, 103, 1604.
- 5 Danheiser, R. L.; Dixon, B. R.; Gleason, R. W. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6094.
- 6. (a) Majetich, G.; Casares, A. M.; Chapman, D.; Behnke, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 1909. (b) Majetich, G.; Desmond Jr, R. W.; Soria, J. J. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 1753. (c) Majetich, G.; Defauw, J.; Ringold, C. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 50. (d) Majetich, G.; Hull, K.; Casares, A. M.; Khetani, V. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3958. (e) Majetich, G.; Song, J.-S.; Leigh, A. J.; Condon, S. M. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1030.
- 7. (a) Danheiser, R. L.; Carini, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 3925. (b) Danheiser; Carini, D. J.; Basak, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1604. (c) Danheiser; Carini, D. J.; Fink, D. M.; Basak, A. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 935. (d) Danheiser, R. L.; Fink, D. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 2513. (e) Danheiser, R. L.; Kwasigroch, C. A.; Tsai, Y.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 7233. (f) Danheiser, R. L.; Decker, D. A. Heterocycles 1987, 25, 277. (g) Danheiser, R. L.; Tsai, Y.-M.; Fink, D. M. Org. Synth. 1988, 66, 1. (h) Danheiser, R. L.; Tsai, Y.-M.; Fink, D. M. Org. Synth. 1988, 66, 8. (i) Becker, D. A.; Danheiser, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 389. (j) Danheiser, R. L.; Stoner, E. J.; Koyama, H.; Yamashita, D. S.; Klade, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 4407.
- 8. (a) Knölker, H.-J.; Jones, P. G.; Pannek, J.-B. Synlett 1990, 429. (b) Knölker, H.-J.; Jones, P. G.; Wanzyl, G. Synlett. 1998, 613.
- 9. (a) Knölker, H.-J.; Foitzik, N.; Graf, R.; Pannek, J.-B. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 9955. (b) Panek, J. S.; Jain, N. F. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2345. (c) Danheiser, R. L.; Dixon, B. R.; Gleason, R. W. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6094. (d) Danheiser, R. L.; Takahashi, T.; Bertók, B.; Dixon, B. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 3845.
- 10. Seebach, D.; Golinski, J. Helv. Chim. Acta 1981, 64, 1413.
- 11. (a) Tamao, K.; Ishida, N.; Tanaka, T.; Kumada, M. Organometallics 1983, 2, 1694. (b) Tamao, K.; Ishida, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 269, C37.
- 12. Fleming, I.; Henning, R.; Plaut, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 29.
- 13. Buncel, E.; Davies, A. G. J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 1550.
- 14. Yablokov, V. A.; Tarabarina, A. P.; Yablokova, N. Y.; Stal'nova, M. I. Zh. Obsch. Khim. 1971, 41, 887.
- 15. (a) Ghosh, S.; Patra, D. Pure Appl. Chem. 1996, 68, 597. (b) Paquette, L. A. Top. Curr. Chem. 1984, 119, 1.
- 16. (a) Knölker, H.-J.; Baum, G.; Graf, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 1612. (b) Akiyama, T.; Yamanaka, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 7885. (c) Murphy, W. S.; Neville, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 7933. (d) Angle, S. R.; Boyce, J. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 6461.
- 17. Akiyama, T.; Yamanaka, M. Synlett 1996, 1095.
- 18. (a) Akiyama, T.; Yasusa, T.; Ishikawa, K.; Ozaki, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 8401. (b) Panek, J. S.; Yang, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9868.
- 19. (a) Peng, Z.-H.; Woerpel, K. A. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1379. (b) Roberson, C. W.; Woerpel, K. A. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 621. (c) Panek, J. S.; Jain, N. J. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2674.
- 20. Knölker, H.-J.; Baum, G.; Schmitt, O.; Wanzyl, G. Chem. Comm. 1999, 1737.
- 21. (a) Brengel, G. P.; Meyers, A. I. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3230. (b) Groaning, M. D.; Brengel, G. P.; Meyers, A. I. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 5517.
- 22. (a) Burgess, L. E.; Meyers, A. I. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 52, 1656. (b) Munchof, M. J.; Meyers, A. I. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 7084.
- 23. (a) Burgess, L. E.; Meyers, A. I. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 52, 1656. (b) Munchof, M. J.; Meyers, A. I. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 7084.
- 24. Andres, C. J.; Meyers, A. I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 3491. (b) Meyers, A. I.; Tschantz, M. A.; Brengel, G. P. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 6, 4359.